Kakutani type Borsuk–Ulam theorem

Oleg R. Musin

arXiv:1405.1579v3 [math.GT] 24 Nov 2014

Abstract

In this paper we present a Kakutani type theorem that is equivalent to the Borsuk–Ulam theorem for manifolds.

For a set S denote by 2^S the set of all subsets of S, including the empty set and S itself. Let X and Y be topological spaces. Say that $F : X \to 2^Y$ is a *set-valued function on X with a closed graph* if $\text{graph}(F) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in F(x)\}$ is a closed as a subset of $X \times Y$.

The Kakutani fixed point theorem [1] states:
Let S be a non-empty, compact and convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $F : S \to 2^S$ be a set-valued function on S with a closed graph and the property that $F(x)$ is non-empty and convex for all $x \in S$. Then there is $y \in S$ such that $y \in F(y)$. (The point y is called a fixed point.)

In our paper [2] we extended the Borsuk–Ulam theorem for manifolds.
Let M be a compact PL (piece-wise linear) d-dimensional manifold without boundary with a free simplicial involution $A : M \to M$, i. e. $A^2(x) = A(A(x)) = x$ and $A(x) \neq x$. We say that a pair (M, A) is a *BUT (Borsuk-Ulam Type) manifold* if for any continuous $g : M \to \mathbb{R}^d$ there is a point $x \in M$ such that $g(A(x)) = g(x)$. Equivalently, if a continuous map $f : M \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is antipodal, i. e. $f(A(x)) = -f(x)$, then the zeros set $Z_f := f^{-1}(0)$ is not empty.

In [2], we found several equivalent necessary and sufficient conditions for manifolds to be BUT. For instance, *M is a BUT manifold if and only if M admits an antipodal continuous transversal to zeros map $h : M \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|Z_h| = 2 \pmod 4$.*

The class of BUT manifolds is sufficiently large. It is clear that $(\mathbb{S}^d, A)$ with $A(x) = -x$ is a BUT-manifold. Suppose that M can be represented as a connected sum $N\#N$, where N is a closed PL manifold. Then M admits a free involution. Indeed, M can be "centrally symmetric" embedded to $\mathbb{R}^k$ with some k and the antipodal symmetry $x \to -x$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$ implies a free involution $A : M \to M$ [2, Corollary 1]. For instance, orientable two-dimensional manifolds M^2_g with even genus g and non-orientable manifolds P^2_m with even m, where m is the number of Möbius bands, are BUT-manifolds.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a compact PL BUT–manifold of dimension d with a free involution A. Let $F : M \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ be a set-valued function on M with a closed graph and the property that for all $x \in M$ the set $F(x)$ is non-empty and convex in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and there is $y \in F(x)$ such that $(-y) \in F(A(x))$. Then there is $x_0 \in M$ such that $F(x_0)$ covers the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.*

Proof. Note that any PL manifold admit a metric. For a triangulation T of M the norm of T, denoted by $|T|$, is the diameter of the largest simplex in T.

Let $\{T_i,\, i = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ be a sequence of antipodal triangulations of M with $|T_i| \to 0$. Now we define an antipodal mapping $f_i : M \to \mathbb{R}^d$. Note that if we define f_i for all vertices $V(T_i)$, then f_i can be piece-wise linearly extended to all T_i, i. e. to $f_i : M \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $x \in V(T_i)$. Then $A(x)$ is also a vertex of T_i. Let $y \in F(x)$ be a point in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $(-y) \in F(A(x))$. Then for the pair $(x, A(x))$ set $f_i(x) := y$ and $f_i(A(x)) := -y$.

Now we have a continuous antipodal mapping $f_i : M \to \mathbb{R}^d$. By assumption, M is BUT, therefore, there is a point $x_i \in M$ such that $f_i(x_i) = 0$. Now, suppose that x_i lies in a d-simplex s_i of T_i with vertices $v_0^i, \ldots, v_d^i$ and let $y_k^i := f_i(v_k^i)$. (Note that $y_k^i \in F(v_k^i) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.) We have $0 \in \mathrm{conv}(y_0^i, \ldots, y_d^i)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $t_0^i, \ldots, t_d^i$ be the barycentric coordinates of 0 relative to the simplex in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with vertices $y_0^i, \ldots, y_d^i$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d} t_k^i y_k^i = 0.$$

Since M is compact the sequences $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $\{t_k^i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $\{y_k^i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, d$, may, after possibly renumbering them, be assumed to converge to points x_0, t_k and y_k, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ respectively.

Finally, since the set-valued function F has closed graph, $y_k \in F(x_0)$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, d$. Since F takes convex values, $F(x_0)$ is convex and so $0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, being a convex combination of the y_k is in $F(x_0)$. Thus x_0 is the required point in M. □

Corollary 1. *Let M be a compact PL BUT–manifold of dimension d with a free involution A. Let $F : M \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ be a set-valued function on M with a closed graph. Then there is $x_0 \in M$ such that the sets $F(x_0)$ and $F(A(x_0))$ have a common intersection point.*

Proof. Let $\bar{F}(x)$, $x \in M$, be the Minkowski difference of $F(x)$ and $F(A(x))$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\bar{F}(x) := F(x) - F(A(x)) = \{a - b | a \in F(x), b \in F(A(x)).$$

Then $\bar{F}(A(x)) = -\bar{F}(x)$. Thus, the theorem for $\bar{F}$ yields this corollary. □

References

[1] S. Kakutani, A generalization of Brouwers fixed point theorem, *Duke Math. J.,* **8** (1941): 457–459.

[2] O. R. Musin, Borsuk-Ulam type theorems for manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140** (2012), 2551-2560.